

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/697,150	10/31/2003	Giampiero Antonelli	033275-413	1661
21839	7590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ELLINGTON	, ALANDRA
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2855	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/697,150	ANTONELLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alandra Ellington	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)		(270,440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.	——————————————————————————————————————	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It contains numerous spelling errors, for example,
 - a. Replace "my" with may (pg. 1 line 14).
 - b. Replace "characterise" with characterize (pg. 2 lines 17,18)
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: The method step of claim 5 is unclear. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harding et al (6,701,615).
 - a. With respect to Claim 1, Harding et al discloses a method of detecting and quantifying subsurface defects in an article made of high strength non-magnetizable materials after the use in a high temperature environment, the

Application/Control Number: 10/697,150

Art Unit: 2855

article exhibiting a crack or gap on a surface, the method comprising the steps of (a) the crack or gap is brazed (col. 6 lines 28-43, col. 10 lines 28-39) and (b) after the brazing operation any remaining braze defect or subsurface crack is detected and quantified by means of a multifrequency scanning eddy current system 38 (col. 6 lines 44-67, col. 7 lines 1-29, col. 10 lines 40-48, col. 11 lines 36-67, col. 12 lines 1-10 {Fig. 1}).

Page 3

- b. With respect to Claim 4, Harding et al discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the distance of the braze defect or subsurface crack from a surface and the depth of the defect is determined (col. 5 lines 12-32, col. 7 lines 24-29).
- c. With respect to Claim 6, Harding et al discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein dependent on the measured extent of the remaining braze defect or subsurface crack after brazing, a decision is made concerning the fulfillment of the serviceability of the quality requirements of the braze (col. 11 lines 13-67, col. 12 lines 1-10).
- d. With respect to Claim 7, Harding et al discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein dependent on the extent of the remaining crack after brazing, estimated by the method, a decision is made concerning further usability of the article (col. 3 lines 50-62, col. 8 lines 35-51).
- e. With respect to Claim 8, Harding et al discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the surface of the crack or gap is cleaned from oxides before applying the method (col. 6 lines 5-10).

Art Unit: 2855

f. With respect to Claim 9, Harding et al discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein a Flouride-Ion-Cleaning-Method is used for cleaning the surface before applying the process (col. 6 lines 18-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harding et al (6,701,615) in view of Baladjanian et al (4,285,459).
 - a. With respect to Claim 3, Harding et al discloses the claimed invention except for the method step wherein the method is applied to blades or vanes of gas turbines made from Nickel base superalloy as the article. Baladjanian et al teaches the method step wherein the method is applied to blades or vanes 10 of gas turbines made from Nickel base superalloy as the article (col. 2 lines 49-59). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Harding et al with the teachings of Baladjanian et al to include the method step wherein the method is applied to blades or vanes of gas turbines made from Nickel base superalloy as the article for the purpose of achieving a high strength, low distortion, braze repaired article (see Baladjanian et al, col. 1 lines 6-19, col. 2 lines 5-7).

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2855

7. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter is based on the inclusion of the method step wherein after the brazing operation the brazed areas are inspected on a grid of points by an eddy current probe connected to a frequency scanning eddy current system, the signal obtained from the system at each inspected point is analyzed by means of an algorithm which fits the signal with calculated signal obtained from a simple model of the interaction between the probe and a multiple layer material, each layer of which is plane, homogeneous, and characterized by a value of electrical conductivity and positions of the interface with the adjacent layers, wherein the effect on the signal due to presence of a braze defect or subsurface crack is approximated by a reduction of the electrical conductivity in a layer corresponding to the position of the braze defect or subsurface crack in the thickness of the material, from the algorithm estimates are obtained of the conductivity values and the position of the interfaces of each layers of the model, the presence of braze defect or subsurface crack is detected by comparing the estimated conductivity values obtained from the algorithm with reference values obtained in the same way on a defect-free component, the ligament and the depth of the braze defect or subsurface crack are determined from the estimated positions of the interfaces between the model layers.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2855

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Dzugan et al (5,071,054) discloses a fabrication of cast articles from high melting temperature superalloy compositions.
- b. Fournier et al (6,193,145) discloses a method for joining two parts by butt welding.
- c. Cohen et al (6,530,971) discloses a nickel-base braze material and braze repair method.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alandra Ellington whose telephone number is (571) 272-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 7:30am 4:00pm.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

Alandra Ellington Art Unit 2855

ane

EDWARD LEFKOWY
SUPERVISORY PATE
TECHNOLOGY